

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

PEDRO CAMACHO-CORONA,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	8:12CV132
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
DOUGLAS COUNTY DEPARTMENT	)	MEMORANDUM AND ORDER
OF CORRECTIONS, NEBRASKA	)	
MEDICAL CENTER, U.S. MARSHAL'S	)	
SERVICE, and FEDERAL BUREAU	)	
OF PRISONS,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
_____	)	

This matter is before the Court on its own motion. The above-captioned matter has been provisionally filed on April 13, 2012 (Filing No. [1](#)). Plaintiff has failed to include the \$350.00 filing fee. Plaintiff has the choice of either tendering the \$350.00 fee to the clerk of the court or submitting a request to proceed *in forma pauperis* and an affidavit of poverty in support thereof. If plaintiff chooses to do the latter, the enclosed pauper's forms should be completed and returned to the Court. Plaintiff is cautioned that, if he is permitted to proceed *in forma pauperis*, he will still be required to pay the entire \$350.00 filing fee. However, he will be allowed to pay the filing fee in installments in accordance with [28 U.S.C. § 1915](#).

IT IS ORDERED:

1. Plaintiff is directed to correct the above defect in the complaint on or before May 29, 2012.

2. Failure to comply with this Memorandum and Order will result in dismissal of this matter without further notice.

3. The clerk of the court is directed to send to plaintiff the Form A0240, Application to Proceed Without Prepayment of Fees and Affidavit.

4. The clerk of the court is directed to set a pro se case management deadline in this matter with the following text:  
May 29, 2012: Check for MIFP or payment.

DATED this 27th day of April, 2012.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Lyle E. Strom

---

LYLE E. STROM, Senior Judge  
United States District Court

---

\* This opinion may contain hyperlinks to other documents or Web sites. The U.S. District Court for the District of Nebraska does not endorse, recommend, approve, or guarantee any third parties or the services or products they provide on their Web sites. Likewise, the Court has no agreements with any of these third parties or their Web sites. The Court accepts no responsibility for the availability or functionality of any hyperlink. Thus, the fact that a hyperlink ceases to work or directs the user to some other site does not affect the opinion of the Court.